



Gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist ovulation trigger—beyond OHSS prevention

Juan Carlos Castillo, Thor Haahr, María Martínez-Moya & Peter Humaidan

To cite this article: Juan Carlos Castillo, Thor Haahr, María Martínez-Moya & Peter Humaidan (2020): Gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist ovulation trigger—beyond OHSS prevention, Upsala Journal of Medical Sciences, DOI: [10.1080/03009734.2020.1737599](https://doi.org/10.1080/03009734.2020.1737599)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/03009734.2020.1737599>



© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.



Published online: 25 Mar 2020.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

Gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist ovulation trigger—beyond OHSS prevention

Juan Carlos Castillo^a, Thor Haahr^{b,c}, María Martínez-Moya^a and Peter Humaidan^{b,c}

^aDepartment of Human Assisted Reproduction, Instituto Bernabeu, Alicante, Spain; ^bDepartment of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark; ^cThe Fertility Clinic Skive, Skive Regional Hospital, Skive, Denmark

ABSTRACT

In this review the advantages of the gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist (GnRHa) trigger are discussed beyond those immediately associated with ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) prevention. The GnRHa trigger concept has sparked the development of novel protocols, enriching the assisted reproductive technology (ART) armamentarium for the benefit of present and future patients. Thus, GnRHa trigger already has a pivotal role, not only for the standard *in vitro* fertilisation (IVF) patient, but also for patient groups like oocyte donors, cancer patients, patients with poor ovarian reserve, and patients with immature oocyte syndrome and empty follicle syndrome. Herein, we discuss the importance of the GnRHa-elicited midcycle FSH surge and the potential improvement in oocyte yield and embryo competence.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 1 November 2019
Revised 11 February 2020
Accepted 27 February 2020

KEYWORDS

Cancer; GnRH trigger; hCG; IVF; oocyte donation; ovulation trigger



GnRHa trigger—improving oocyte yield and embryo competence?

In assisted reproductive technology (ART), hCG has been extensively used as a surrogate for the midcycle luteinizing hormone (LH). Due to its biochemical components and similar biological dynamics of LH, hCG binds to and activates the same receptor as LH, the LH/hCG receptor, thus ensuring excellent exposure of the follicle to LH activity. In contrast, when hCG is used for ovulation trigger there is a complete lack of the midcycle FSH surge and activity as seen during the natural midcycle surge of gonadotrophins (1). Until recently, clinicians have been relying solely on LH activity-dependent triggering of final oocyte maturation and, thus, have taken it for granted that the natural midcycle FSH surge was biologically redundant. However, since the early days of comparisons between gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist (GnRHa) trigger and hCG trigger in *in vitro* fertilisation (IVF), studies reported the retrieval of more metaphase II (MII) oocytes and embryos after GnRHa trigger (2–4). Thus, Kol and Humaidan in 2010 questioned this paradigm, suggesting that the complex process of final follicular maturation and ovulation in IVF cycles might benefit from the synchronised interaction of both LH and FSH activity (5). Although the exact role of the FSH midcycle surge is not fully understood, it is known to: 1) promote nuclear oocyte maturation (6); 2) favour cumulus–oocyte communication, enhancing the network of gap junctions within the cumulus–oocyte complex (7); 3) stimulate cumulus expansion (8);

and 4) favour the release of proteolytic enzymes involved in ovulation (plasmin) (9).

As observed previously, the surge of gonadotrophins elicited by a bolus of GnRHa differs from that of the natural midcycle of gonadotrophins in duration and profile. It has also been shown that the elicited flare of LH as well as FSH resembles the natural midcycle surge of gonadotrophins and was found to effectively stimulate final oocyte maturation and ovulation (10), leading to the development of competent embryos as recently demonstrated in PGT-A cycles (11). Moreover, studies in oocyte donors (12) and oncologic fertility-preservation patients (13,14) demonstrated a significant increase in MII oocytes and the number of good-quality embryos in GnRHa-triggered cycles as compared with hCG-triggered cycles. Nonetheless, it is worth noticing that others have not corroborated these findings (15–17).

Based on the previous observations, Lamb and co-workers explored the possible benefits of adding FSH to the hCG trigger bolus in a randomised placebo-controlled trial in a total of 188 IVF cycles (18). Thus, apart from the hCG trigger bolus, a total of 95 patients received 450 IU of FSH, whereas the remaining 93 patients received a placebo. There were higher retrieval rates (70% versus 57%) as well as fertilisation rates (63% versus 55%) in the FSH group of patients. Following the same line, Lin et al. (19) retrospectively analysed data from 376 normo-responder patients, undergoing GnRH-antagonist co-treatment, of whom a total of 191 received the so-called ‘dual trigger’ approach (20) compared with 187 patients receiving hCG only. More MII oocytes were

CONTACT Juan Carlos Castillo  jcastillo@institutobernabeu.com  Department of Human Assisted Reproduction, Instituto Bernabeu, Av. Albufereta 31, 03016 Alicante, Spain

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

retrieved within the dual trigger group (10.53 versus 8.03), and live-birth rates per embryo transfer were higher as well (41% versus 30%), suggesting a beneficial effect of adding FSH activity at the moment of final follicular maturation in IVF. More recently, the same group published a similar study (21), this time focussing on patients with diminished ovarian reserve ($n = 427$). The dual trigger group had higher oocyte fertilisation rates (73.1% versus 58.6%), clinical pregnancy rates (33.0% versus 20.7%), and live birth rates (26.9% versus 14.5%) when compared with the hCG-only trigger group. Of note, the pregnancy loss (17.4% versus 37.0%) and embryo transfer cancellation rate (6.1% versus 15.4%) were lower in the dual trigger group.

Taken together, the available data show how modifications in the trigger strategy based on physiology may have potential benefits for oocyte/embryo competence. At the time of trigger, it seems that FSH activity enhances a proper resumption of the meiotic processes of the oocyte, thus adding clinical value by improving oocyte recovery and fertilisation, and by improved pregnancy rates. Although the specific mechanisms need further exploration, these findings make the introduction of an FSH surge in addition to the surge of LH activity in IVF an attractive option for further improvement of success rates in IVF (5,18).

Immature oocyte syndrome

In the human species, oocytes are created only during a small period of time during foetal life, after which they arrest in meiosis I (MI) until exposed to FSH and LH later in life. Generating embryos during IVF depends on multiple variables, and one of utmost importance is obtaining MII oocytes after the ovulation trigger. As noted previously, during ovarian stimulation (OS) for IVF, this process was traditionally induced by hCG which binds to the LH receptor acting as a surrogate for the natural midcycle LH surge. In this aspect, immature oocyte syndrome has been defined as a condition with more than 25% immature oocytes at retrieval after OS, despite the correct administration and timing of hCG (22). The aetiology of this obscure phenomenon is unknown, but has profound consequences for the couple, including a significant reduction in the chance of conceiving during IVF treatment (23).

Following a recent case report, describing a successful pregnancy by use of the dual trigger concept (20) in a patient with a history of immature oocyte syndrome (24), a retrospective study by Griffin et al. in 2012 reported the results of 27 women with a previous history of immature oocyte syndrome after hCG triggering (25). In the subsequent cycle, patients were triggered with a combination of GnRHa and hCG. By such means the number of MII oocytes increased compared with the previous cycle, resulting in the development of more transferable embryos. The odds of a mature oocyte retrieved for patients who received a dual trigger was 2.51 times higher, after controlling for confounding factors. The authors speculated that the retrieval of more MII oocytes might be associated with the presence of a surge of FSH/LH in addition to the hCG activity; yet the

ongoing pregnancy rate per transfer was still disappointingly low (17.4%). In contrast, another small-size retrospective study of patients previously having a low proportion of MII oocytes (66%), despite normal response to OS, and who were subsequently submitted to a 'double trigger', reported not only a significantly higher number of mature oocytes (6.5 versus 3.6), but also an encouraging 50% clinical pregnancy rate (26). The 'double trigger' involves co-administration of GnRHa and hCG for final oocyte maturation, but at 40 and 34 h, prior to OPU, respectively (26).

Taken together, the presence of an FSH surge in addition to the LH and hCG surge seems to be a valuable tool in the armamentarium for the treatment of patients with immature oocyte syndrome. However, larger studies are needed to validate the reported retrospective results prior to its routine implementation.

Empty follicle syndrome following hCG trigger

Empty follicle syndrome (EFS) was first described in 1986 in four patients in whom no oocytes were retrieved after apparently normal follicular development and appropriate oestradiol levels after OS (27). EFS is still a disturbing and challenging situation in IVF clinical practice, and the incidence varies among studies, ranging from 0.59% to 3.5% (28,29). Moreover, EFS seems to be associated with PCOS, GnRH-antagonist co-treatment (30), and diminished ovarian reserve (31). Interestingly, Revelli et al. suggested that EFS might not be a constant condition. Thus, in a study of 43 patients undergoing a second stimulation after EFS in the first cycle, a total of 37 patients (86%) obtained MII oocytes, although the stimulation protocols were similar, and a hCG trigger was used for the second cycle too (32). This could be caused by cycle-to-cycle variations in oocyte quality. However, a contribution from the statistical phenomenon 'regression to the mean' could also play a role as it is defined by the fact that an extreme variable in the first measurement is more likely to be closer to the mean in the next measurement.

In contrast, several case reports suggest a different picture. Thus, Lok et al. described a case presenting with two consecutive EFS (33). First, in a long GnRHa down-regulation protocol and subsequently in a GnRH antagonist protocol, both were triggered with 10,000 IU urinary hCG. In a second attempt, a single dose of GnRHa was used for final follicular maturation. Nine MII oocytes were retrieved from 10 follicles, and eight of these fertilised normally. Two good-quality embryos were used for fresh transfer, and four embryos were cryopreserved. A similar case has been described by Deepika et al. (34), with two consecutive EFS cycles with adequate follicular development and hormonal levels, and an uneventful oocyte pick-up after the use of an hCG trigger. In a third attempt, using GnRH antagonist co-treatment, the dual trigger option was chosen. A total of 10 MII oocytes were retrieved, and subsequently two-good quality blastocysts were transferred, leading to one successful live birth. However, the most puzzling case was described by Beck-Fruchter (35). Herein, the authors reported a case of a young

woman with a normal karyotype and primary infertility of 25 months, submitted to IVF. After seven cycles including either a long GnRHa down-regulation protocol or GnRH antagonist co-treatment, resulting in normal follicular development and oestradiol levels, very unfavourable outcomes were obtained at retrieval, including four cycles with EFS and three cycles with 1–4 immature oocytes only. Of note, in these seven cycles rhCG (up to 13,000 IU) was used for trigger.

In the final successful cycle, a 'double trigger' (GnRHa, 40 h prior to OPU; and hCG, 34 h prior to OPU) was used, 16 MII oocytes were retrieved, and a total of 11 embryos developed; two embryos were transferred, and nine were cryopreserved; the fresh transfer resulted in the term birth of a healthy child. In the cases of Lok et al. and Beck-Fruchter et al. (33,35) it is difficult to clearly distinguish between the effect of the dual trigger concept versus an isolated action of the GnRHa bolus. Nevertheless, in view of the previous hCG failures, it seems reasonable to assume that some form of endogenous LH and/or the additive effect of the FSH surge could have played a role for the successful outcome.

All these observations suggest that EFS is a genuine entity. Albeit of obscure aetiology, EFS may represent a syndrome of impaired granulosa cell function, in which oocyte meiotic maturation is not resumed, cumulus expansion does not ensue, and the immature oocyte–cumulus complexes are resistant to follicular aspiration (35). However, evidence is mainly based on case reports and might suffer from publication bias. For the time being, it cannot be ruled out that in some patients the FSH surge is needed for optimal resumption of the oocyte meiotic processes, including EFS cases after hCG trigger. Finally, it is important to note that EFS can be encountered also after GnRHa trigger (28).

Ovulation trigger in oocyte donation cycles

According to the European IVF-monitoring Consortium, oocyte donation cycles account for up to 32.4% of all ART treatments in some countries (36). The oocyte donation is usually performed in an altruistic manner by young and healthy women. Even though important complications account for less than 1% of all oocyte donation cycles (37), moderate to severe ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) might occur more frequently than anticipated, 0.87%–9.47% (37,38). As these patients do not proceed to embryo transfer, the incidence accounts exclusively for early-onset OHSS and are related to hCG trigger in the presence of a high ovarian response.

The use of GnRH antagonist co-treatment followed by GnRHa trigger has been shown to be the most advantageous protocol for the oocyte donor in terms of safety and efficacy. In oocyte donation cycles, outcomes after GnRHa trigger are similar to those of hCG trigger. In 2009, Galindo et al. reported similar oocyte maturation and fertilisation rates in 212 oocyte donors randomised to receive either GnRHa or rhCG for trigger (38). Furthermore, although donors at high risk of OHSS were excluded from randomisation, nine donors had mild OHSS and one donor severe OHSS in the rhCG

group, whereas no OHSS cases were observed in the GnRHa trigger group. Additional randomised controlled trials have consistently reported similar outcomes. Importantly, the pregnancy rates in recipients are similar to those seen after hCG trigger (39,40). Further benefits for the oocyte donor population include: shorter duration of the luteal phase, reduced luteal phase discomfort and abdominal distension, and reduced ovary volume. All these added benefits contribute to a more friendly process for the oocyte donor (41–43), and GnRHa trigger should be the 'gold standard' for the oocyte donor.

Ovarian torsion

Ovarian torsion (OT) happens when an ovary twists on its attachment to other structures. The development of an ovarian mass or ovarian enlargement is commonly related to the development of OT and may affect up to 7.5% of women who experience abdominal pain in emergency departments (44). OS may result in ovarian conditions that predispose patients to ovarian augmentation and torsion, with potentially significant consequences, as OT may lead to necrosis requiring ovariectomy, if left untreated.

In a recent retrospective cohort study (45), the incidence of OT and its subsequent complications of IVF cycles were explored. The analysis included more than 15,000 IVF cycles, using either hCG trigger and fresh embryo transfer or GnRHa trigger and elective frozen embryo transfer (eFET). As previously reported (46), OT was an infrequent complication (14 out of 15,577; 0.09%). It is worthy of note that of the 14 diagnosed OT cases, a total of 13 were diagnosed in the hCG-triggered fresh embryo transfer group and 1 in the GnRHa trigger eFET group (0.13% versus 0.018%, $p < 0.049$). Importantly, although the total oocyte number obtained in the GnRHa trigger group was higher than in the hCG trigger group, the incidence of OT was lower in the former. The authors correlated the lower OT rate to a lower OHSS rate in the GnRHa trigger group compared to the hCG trigger group (0.05% versus 2.4%, $p < 0.001$). In addition, others reported that the ovary will gain its normal volume faster and closer to the baseline prestimulation volume after GnRHa trigger (47,48), which may further contribute to a reduction in OT development.

Fertility preservation in cancer patients

According to the Global Cancer Observatory (<https://gco.iarc.fr/>), breast cancer is the most common malignancy diagnosed in reproductive-age women worldwide, accounting for approximately 30% of all new cases reported in 2018. Since diagnostic tools and treatments have improved over the last decade, fertility preservation in this specific group of patients has gained importance. Considering the fact that a vast majority of breast cancers are hormone-dependent (49), attention has focussed on the supraphysiological oestradiol levels occurring during OS prior to oocyte preservation. Additionally, when hCG is used as a trigger agent, its luteotropic effect will potentiate the function of multiple corpora

lutea, further increasing oestrogen levels during the luteal phase.

In order to overcome these undesired effects of ovarian stimulation and ovulation trigger in breast cancer patients, OS protocols were developed involving the use of aromatase inhibitors (in addition to exogenous FSH) and GnRHa trigger. In their first small-size retrospective analysis Oktay et al. explored the use of either GnRHa trigger or hCG trigger in women with breast cancer who submitted to oocyte fertility preservation before chemotherapy (50). GnRHa trigger resulted in a considerable drop in luteal oestradiol levels compared with hCG trigger. Furthermore, more MII oocytes were retrieved, leading to the development of more 2PN embryos after GnRHa trigger. The same group in an extended analysis (13), including 129 breast cancer patients (46 in the GnRHa trigger group versus 83 in the hCG trigger group), confirmed their previous results in terms of more MII oocytes (77.3% versus 67.6%, $p = 0.007$) and a higher number of cryopreserved embryos (7.7 versus 5.4, $p = 0.002$) in the GnRHa trigger group. More recently, a larger retrospective cohort study (14) included 341 patient who underwent oocyte freezing for fertility preservation (75.3% breast cancer patients) and reported a higher number of MII oocytes and embryos cryopreserved (11.8 versus 9.9, $p = 0.04$; and 9.2 versus 6.4, $p < 0.001$) after GnRHa trigger.

Finally, the use of GnRHa trigger in the so-called 'Random Start Controlled Ovarian Stimulation' protocol was published, showing that GnRHa trigger effectively stimulates a flare of FHS/LH also in the luteal phase (51). The random start protocol has the potential to shorten the time to oocyte retrieval before oncology treatment.

In conclusion, on the basis of the reduced luteal oestrogen exposure after GnRHa trigger, the reduced risk of OHSS, and the improved cycle outcomes, the available evidence supports the use of GnRHa trigger in all women with breast cancer undergoing fertility preservation.

Development of new protocols

In humans, the traditional model of follicular growth states that a single cohort of antral follicles develops during the follicular phase of a menstrual cycle. This classical theory of follicular recruitment has been challenged by Baerwald et al. by demonstrating the presence of two and even three wave-like changes in folliculogenesis during one single menstrual cycle, of which only one terminated in ovulation (52).

This new physiologic knowledge of folliculogenesis alongside previous experiences in the Random Start Controlled Ovarian Stimulation protocol paved the way for the development of the so-called 'double stimulation' protocol. A double stimulation protocol consists of two consecutive ovarian stimulations, the second one performed in the luteal phase, starting immediately after the first oocyte retrieval. This novel approach was initially used with promising results in a group of patients with poor ovarian reserve (53). From the double stimulation, a total of 26 women had 1–6 viable embryos cryopreserved, and 21 women underwent 23 cryopreserved embryo transfers, resulting in 13 clinical pregnancies.

Importantly, in this novel protocol using GnRHa trigger in the follicular as well as the luteal phase of ovarian stimulation, it was found that the pituitary is able to respond adequately to a GnRHa trigger during the luteal phase, even in the presence of high circulating progesterone levels. Nonetheless, the FSH and LH surge induced by the same dose of GnRHa was higher after the first trigger compared with the second trigger. Other investigators, exploring the same concept of combined follicular and luteal phase OS, so-called DuoStim, reached the same conclusions (54). It was proposed that DuoStim would provide a better opportunity of retrieving oocytes in patients with poor ovarian reserve, in a shorter timespan as compared with conventional OS, and also suggested its potential use in oncologic patients in need of emergency fertility preservation. Finally, there is a recent publication reporting on the concept of double random ovarian stimulation, initiating OS regardless of the cycle day, and proceeding immediately with a second stimulation after the first retrieval in oncological patients (55).

Reason for caution

A substantial part of the literature in the field of GnRHa trigger for the abovementioned patient sub-groups can be considered low-quality evidence. Hence, well conducted prospective trials are awaited in this area of IVF.

Conclusions

GnRHa trigger has had a pivotal role in changing ovulation trigger policies worldwide, not only for the standard IVF patient, but also for patient groups like oocyte donors, cancer patients, patients with poor ovarian reserve, and patients with immature oocyte syndrome or empty follicle syndrome. Thus, GnRHa trigger plays an important role beyond OHSS prevention. Moreover, the GnRHa trigger concept has sparked the development of novel protocols, enriching the ART armamentarium for the benefit of present and future patients.

Disclosure statement

Not related to this manuscript, TH received honoraria for lectures from Ferring, IBSA, Besins, and Merck. PH received unrestricted research grants from MSD, Merck, and Ferring as well as honoraria for lectures from MSD, Merck, Gedeon-Richter, Theramex, and IBSA. PH and TH are listed as inventors in an international patent application (PCT/UK2018/040882).

Notes on contributors

Juan Carlos Castillo is a senior consultant (MD PhD) at Instituto Bernabeu, Alicante, Spain.

Thor Haahr is a medical doctor and a PhD student at the Fertility Clinic Skive, and the Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University, Denmark.

María Martínez-Moya is a consultant (MD) at Instituto Bernabeu, Alicante, Spain.

Peter Humaidan is a senior consultant (MD, DMSc) and professor at the Fertility Clinic Skive, and the Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University, Denmark.

References

- Hoff JD, Quigley ME, Yen SS. Hormonal dynamics at midcycle: a reevaluation. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1983;57:792–6.
- Imoedemhe DAG, Sigue AB, Pacpaco ELA, Olazo AB. Stimulation of endogenous surge of luteinizing hormone with gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog after ovarian stimulation for in vitro fertilization. *Fertil Steril.* 1991;55:328–32.
- Humaidan P, Ejdrup Bredkjaer H, Bungum L, Bungum M, Grøndahl ML, Westergaard L, et al. GnRH agonist (buserelin) or hCG for ovulation induction in GnRH antagonist IVF/ICSI cycles: a prospective randomized study. *Hum Reprod.* 2005;20:1213–20.
- Oktay K, Türkçüoğlu I, Rodriguez-Wallberg KA. GnRH agonist trigger for women with breast cancer undergoing fertility preservation by aromatase inhibitor/FSH stimulation. *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2010;20:783–8.
- Kol S, Humaidan P. LH (as HCG) and FSH surges for final oocyte maturation: sometimes it takes two to tango? *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2010;21:590–2.
- Yding Andersen C, Leonardsen L, Ulloa-Aguirre A, Barrios-De-Tomasi J, Moore L, Byskov AG. FSH-induced resumption of meiosis in mouse oocytes: effect of different isoforms. *Mol Hum Reprod.* 1999;5:726–31.
- Godard NM, Pukazhenti BS, Wildt DE, Comizzoli P. Paracrine factors from cumulus-enclosed oocytes ensure the successful maturation and fertilization in vitro of denuded oocytes in the cat model. *Fertil Steril.* 2009;91:2051–60.
- Dell'Aquila ME, Caillaud M, Maritato F, Martoriati A, Gérard N, Aiudi G, et al. Cumulus expansion, nuclear maturation and connexin 43, cyclooxygenase-2 and FSH receptor mRNA expression in equine cumulus-oocyte complexes cultured in vitro in the presence of FSH and precursors for hyaluronic acid synthesis. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2004;2:44.
- D'Alessandris C, Canipari R, Di Giacomo M, Epifano O, Camaioni A, Siracusa G, et al. Control of mouse cumulus cell-oocyte complex integrity before and after ovulation: plasminogen activator synthesis and matrix degradation. *Endocrinology* 2001;142:3033–40.
- Gonen Y, Balakier H, Powell W, Casper RF. Use of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist to trigger follicular maturation for in vitro fertilization. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1990;71:918–22.
- Thorne J, Loza A, Kaye L, Nulsen J, Benadiva C, Grow D, et al. Euploidy rates between cycles triggered with gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist and human chorionic gonadotropin. *Fertil Steril.* 2019;112:258–65.
- Erb TM, Vitek W, Wakim A. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist or human chorionic gonadotropin for final oocyte maturation in an oocyte donor program. *Fertil Steril.* 2010;93:374–8.
- Reddy J, Turan V, Bedoschi G, Moy F, Oktay K. Triggering final oocyte maturation with gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist (GnRHa) versus human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) in breast cancer patients undergoing fertility preservation: an extended experience. *J Assist Reprod Genet.* 2014;31:927–32.
- Pereira N, Kelly AG, Stone LD, Witzke JD, Lekovich JP, Elias RT, et al. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist trigger increases the number of oocytes and embryos available for cryopreservation in cancer patients undergoing ovarian stimulation for fertility preservation. *Fertil Steril.* 2017;108:532–8.
- Fausser BC, de Jong D, Olivennes F, Wramsby H, Tay C, Itskovitz-Eldor J, et al. Endocrine profiles after triggering of final oocyte maturation with GnRH agonist after cotreatment with the GnRH antagonist ganirelix during ovarian hyperstimulation for in vitro fertilization. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2002;87:709–15.
- Kolibianakis EM, Schultze-Mosgau A, Schroer A, van Steirteghem A, Devroey P, Diedrich K, et al. A lower ongoing pregnancy rate can be expected when GnRH agonist is used for triggering final oocyte maturation instead of HCG in patients undergoing IVF with GnRH antagonists. *Hum Reprod.* 2005;20:2887–92.
- Acevedo B, Gomez-Palomares JL, Ricciarelli E, Hernández ER. Triggering ovulation with gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists does not compromise embryo implantation rates. *Fertil Steril.* 2006;86:1682–7.
- Lamb JD, Shen S, McCulloch C, Jalalian L, Cedars MI, Rosen MP. Follicle-stimulating hormone administered at the time of human chorionic gonadotropin trigger improves oocyte developmental competence in in vitro fertilization cycles: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Fertil Steril.* 2011;95:1655–60.
- Lin M-H, Wu F-Y, Lee R-K, Li S-H, Lin S-Y, Hwu Y-M. Dual trigger with combination of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist and human chorionic gonadotropin significantly improves the live-birth rate for normal responders in GnRH-antagonist cycles. *Fertil Steril.* 2013;100:1296–302.
- Shapiro BS, Daneshmand ST, Garner FC, Aguirre M, Thomas S. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist combined with a reduced dose of human chorionic gonadotropin for final oocyte maturation in fresh autologous cycles of in vitro fertilization. *Fertil Steril.* 2008;90:231–3.
- Lin M-H, Wu F-Y, Hwu Y-M, Lee R-K, Li R-S, Li S-H. Dual trigger with gonadotropin releasing hormone agonist and human chorionic gonadotropin significantly improves live birth rate for women with diminished ovarian reserve. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* 2019;17:7.
- Griffin D, Feinn R, Engmann L, Nulsen J, Budinetz T, Benadiva C. Dual trigger with gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist and standard dose human chorionic gonadotropin to improve oocyte maturity rates. *Fertil Steril.* 2014;102:405–9.
- Levrán D, Farhi J, Nahum H, Glezerman M, Weissman A. Maturation arrest of human oocytes as a cause of infertility: case report. *Hum Reprod.* 2002;17:1604–9.
- Castillo JC, Moreno J, Dolz M, Bonilla-Musoles F. Successful pregnancy following dual triggering concept (rhCG + GnRH Agonist) in a patient showing repetitive immature oocytes and empty follicle syndrome: case report. *J Med Cases* 2013;4:221–6.
- Griffin D, Benadiva C, Kummer N, Budinetz T, Nulsen J, Engmann L. Dual trigger of oocyte maturation with gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist and low-dose human chorionic gonadotropin to optimize live birth rates in high responders. *Fertil Steril.* 2012;97:1316–20.
- Zilberberg E, Haas J, Dar S, Kedem A, Machtinger R, Orvieto R. Co-administration of GnRH-agonist and hCG, for final oocyte maturation (double trigger), in patients with low proportion of mature oocytes. *Gynecol Endocrinol.* 2015;31:145–7.
- Coulam CB, Bustillo M, Schulman JD. Empty follicle syndrome. *Fertil Steril.* 1986;46:1153–5.
- Castillo JC, Garcia-Velasco J, Humaidan P. Empty follicle syndrome after GnRHa triggering versus hCG triggering in COS. *J Assist Reprod Genet.* 2012;29:249–53.
- Blazquez A, Guillén JJ, Colomé C, Coll O, Vassena R, Vernaev V. Empty follicle syndrome prevalence and management in oocyte donors. *Hum Reprod.* 2014;29:2221–7.
- Singh N, Dalal V, Kriplani A, Malhotra N, Mahey R, Perumal V. Empty follicle syndrome: a challenge to physician. *J Hum Reprod Sci.* 2018;11:274–8.
- Zreik TG, Garcia-Velasco JA, Vergara TM, Arici A, Olive D, Jones EE. Empty follicle syndrome: evidence for recurrence. *Hum Reprod.* 2000;15:999–1002.
- Revelli A, Carosso A, Grassi G, Gennarelli G, Canosa S, Benedetto C. Empty follicle syndrome revisited: definition, incidence, aetiology, early diagnosis and treatment. *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2017;35:132–8.
- Lok F, Pritchard J, Lashen H. Successful treatment of empty follicle syndrome by triggering endogenous LH surge using GnRH agonist in an antagonist down-regulated IVF cycle. *Hum Reprod.* 2003;18:2079–81.

34. Deepika K, Rathore S, Garg N, Rao K. Empty follicle syndrome: successful pregnancy following dual trigger. *J Hum Reprod Sci.* 2015; 8:170–4.
35. Beck-Fruchter R, Weiss A, Lavee M, Geslevich Y, Shalev E. Empty follicle syndrome: successful treatment in a recurrent case and review of the literature. *Hum Reprod.* 2012;27:1357–67.
36. De Geyter C, Calhaz-Jorge C, Kupka MS, Wyns C, Mocanu E, Motrenko T, et al. ART in Europe, 2014: results generated from European registries by ESHRE: the European IVF-monitoring Consortium (EIM) for the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE). *Hum Reprod* 2018;33: 1586–601.
37. Bodri D, Guillén JJ, Polo A, Trullenque M, Esteve C, Coll O. Complications related to ovarian stimulation and oocyte retrieval in 4052 oocyte donor cycles. *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2008;17: 237–43.
38. Galindo A, Bodri D, Guillén JJ, Colodrón M, Vernaeve V, Coll O. Triggering with HCG or GnRH agonist in GnRH antagonist treated oocyte donation cycles: a randomised clinical trial. *Gynecol Endocrinol.* 2009;25:60–6.
39. Melo M, Busso C, Bellver J, Alama P, Garrido N, Meseguer M, et al. GnRH agonist versus recombinant HCG in an oocyte donation programme: a randomized, prospective, controlled, assessor-blind study. *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2009;19:486–92.
40. Sismanoglu A, Tekin HI, Erden HF, Ciray NH, Ulug U, Bahceci M. Ovulation triggering with GnRH agonist vs. hCG in the same egg donor population undergoing donor oocyte cycles with GnRH antagonist: a prospective randomized cross-over trial. *J Assist Reprod Genet.* 2009;26:251–6.
41. Cerrillo M, Rodríguez S, Mayoral M, Pacheco A, Martínez-Salazar J, García-Velasco JA. Differential regulation of VEGF after final oocyte maturation with GnRH agonist versus hCG: a rationale for OHSS reduction. *Fertil Steril.* 2009;91:1526–8.
42. Hernández ER, Gómez-Palomares JL, Ricciarelli E. No room for cancellation, coasting, or ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome in oocyte donation cycles. *Fertil Steril.* 2009;91:1358–61.
43. Castillo JC, Dolz M, Moreno J, Gijón L, Ferrer R, Ferrero E, et al. Triggering with GnRH agonist in oocyte-donation cycles: oestradiol monitoring is not necessary during ovarian stimulation. *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2012;24:247–50.
44. Huchon C, Fauconnier A. Adnexal torsion: a literature review. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2010;150:8–12.
45. Berkkanoglu M, Coetzee K, Bulut H, Ozgur K. Risk of ovarian torsion is reduced in GnRH agonist triggered freeze-all cycles: a retrospective cohort study. *J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2019;39:212–7.
46. Roest J, Mous HV, Zeilmaker GH, Verhoeff A. The incidence of major clinical complications in a Dutch transport IVF programme. *Hum Reprod Update.* 1996;2:345–53.
47. Garcia-Velasco JA, Motta L, López A, Mayoral M, Cerrillo M, Pacheco A. Low-dose human chorionic gonadotropin versus estradiol/progesterone luteal phase support in gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist-triggered assisted reproductive technique cycles: understanding a new approach. *Fertil Steril.* 2010;94: 2820–3.
48. Abbara A, Islam R, Clarke SA, Jeffers L, Christopoulos G, Comninou AN, et al. Clinical parameters of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome following different hormonal triggers of oocyte maturation in IVF treatment. *Clin Endocrinol.* 2018;88:920–7.
49. Howlader N, Altekruze SF, Li CI, Chen VW, Clarke CA, Ries LAG, et al. US incidence of breast cancer subtypes defined by joint hormone receptor and HER2 status. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2014;106: dju055.
50. Oktay K, Buyuk E, Libertella N, Akar M, Rosenwaks Z. Fertility preservation in breast cancer patients: a prospective controlled comparison of ovarian stimulation with tamoxifen and letrozole for embryo cryopreservation. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23:4347–53.
51. Ozkaya E, San Roman G, Oktay K. Luteal phase GnRHa trigger in random start fertility preservation cycles. *J Assist Reprod Genet.* 2012;29:503–5.
52. Baerwald AR, Adams GP, Pierson RA. A new model for ovarian follicular development during the human menstrual cycle. *Fertil Steril.* 2003;80:116–22.
53. Kuang Y, Chen Q, Hong Q, Lyu Q, Ai A, Fu Y, et al. Double stimulations during the follicular and luteal phases of poor responders in IVF/ICSI programmes (Shanghai protocol). *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2014;29:684–91.
54. Ubaldi FM, Capalbo A, Vaiarelli A, Cimadomo D, Colamaria S, Alviggi C, et al. Follicular versus luteal phase ovarian stimulation during the same menstrual cycle (DuoStim) in a reduced ovarian reserve population results in a similar euploid blastocyst formation rate: new insight in ovarian reserve exploitation. *Fertil Steril.* 2016; 105:1488–95.e1.
55. Sighinolfi G, Sunkara SK, Marca AL. New strategies of ovarian stimulation based on the concept of ovarian follicular waves: from conventional to random and double stimulation. *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2018;37:489–97.