Exposure to environmental toxins in males seeking infertility treatment: a case-controlled study

J Mendiola1,4, AM Torres-Cantero2, JM Moreno-Grau3, J Ten1, M Roca3, S Moreno-Grau3, R Bernabeu1

1Department of Reproductive Biology and Medicine, Instituto Bernabeu, 03016, Alicante, Spain
2Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, School of Medicine, University of Murcia, 30100, Espinardo (Murcia), Spain
3Department of Environmental and Chemical Engineering, Technical University of Cartagena, 30202, Cartagena, Spain
4Correspondence: Tel: 34965154000; e-mail: mendiola.j@gmail.com

This case-control study explored the role of environmental toxins in male infertility in patients attending an assisted reproduction clinic in south-eastern Spain. Exposures were compared by questionnaire for 30 infertile oligoasthenoteratozoospermic males and 31 normospermic controls residing in the area. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were used to estimate differences in lifestyle and chemical occupational exposures. More than two-thirds of the patients (23/30), compared with less than one-third of controls (10/31), had been exposed occupationally to at least one toxin or pollutant (OR = 6.9; 95% CI: 2.2–21.4) and were also more exposed to them currently (OR = 5.2; 95% CI: 1.6–17.2). Exposure to glues, solvents or silicones (OR = 22.9; 95% CI: 2.8–190.9), metals (OR = 8.8; 95% CI: 1.4–54.2) and physical agents (OR = 7.3; 95% CI: 1.4–36.7) in the past, as well as current exposure to glues, solvents or silicones (OR = 10.4; 95% CI: 2.6–42.5) and physical agents (OR = 4.7; 95% CI: 1.1–19.2), were significantly higher in patients than in controls. Average duration of exposure was also significantly higher in patients (P < 0.001). This study suggests that male infertility in patients attending infertility clinics may be largely the result of occupational exposure.
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